TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant' R 44	's or agent's file reference .361	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
Internation	nal application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/	AT2004/000336	04.10.2004	13.11.2003
	nal Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	l onal classification and IPC	
	, ,		
		H01 S3/098, H01 S3/0	081
Applicant			
FEMT	OLASERS PRODUKTIO	ONS GMBH	
	This report is the international preliminate Article 35 and transmitted to the		s International Preliminary Examining Authority
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of _	8 sheets, includ	ing this cover sheet.
3.	This report is also accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:	
	a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
	1 1		n amended and are the basis for this report and/or
	sheets containing red Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this Authority (see I	Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
	the disclosure in the		onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond ed in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	Box.		
	b (sent to the International i	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))
			, containing a sequence listing and/or tables
	related thereto, in computer Section 802 of the Administ		plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4.	This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:	
	Box No. I Basis of the	report	
[Box No. II Priority		
[Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention	
	BON IVO. V	atement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited	
	Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international application	
Date of su	bmission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report
Name and	mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer	
Facsimile	No.	Telephone No.	
		1	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000336

Box	k No. I		Basis of the report		
1.			to the language, this report is based on the internatio der this item.	nal application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise
			port is based on translations from the original langua is the language of a translation furnished for the purp		,
		∐ i	nternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		\sqcup	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	
		i	nternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	(or 55.3)	
2.	rece		to the elements of the international application, this fice in response to an invitation under Article 14 ar		
		the inte	ernational application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes	the des	scription:		
		pages	1-13		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the cla	ims:		
		nos.	1-11		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*			
		nos.*			
		nos.*			
	\square				
		the dra			
		sheets			
		sheets*			
		sheets*		received by this Authority on	
	\sqcup	a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	Ш	The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		Ш т	he description, pages		
		t	he claims, nos.		
			he drawings, sheets/figs		
			he sequence listing (specify):		
			nny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.			eport has been established as if (some of) the amendave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil		
		t	he description, pages		
			he claims, nos.		
			he drawings, sheets/figs		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	т 4 арр	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000336

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	_ YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 3	YES
		Claims	1, 4-11	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		_ NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)		

- The present report refers to the following documents:
- D1: CHO S H ET AL: "GENERATION OF 90-NJ PULSES WITH A 4-MHZ REPETITION-RATE KERR-LENS MODE-LOCKED TI: AL203 LASER OPERATING WITH NET POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE INTRACAVITY DISPERSION" OPTICS LETTERS, OPTICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA, WASHINGTON, US, Vol. 26, No. 8, 15 April 2001 (2001-04-15), pages 560-562, XP001077217 ISSN: 0146-9592
- D2: US 5 734 503 A (KRAUSZ FERENC ET AL) 31 March 1998 (1998-03-31).
- 2. In the letter of 17 August 2005 the applicant put forward arguments in favour of an inventive step of the subject matter of the application. However, these arguments relate to a resonator having a relatively small positive mean dispersion as defined in, for example, dependent claims 2 and 3 (see paragraph 5 below). However, the subject matter of claim 1 refers to a resonator with a positive mean dispersion. A resonator of this kind is already known from document D1 (see paragraph

	101/1112001/00
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	3.1 below). The arguments proposed in the present
	international preliminary report on patentability
	are therefore the same as those already put
	forward by the Examining Authority in its written
	opinion of 9 December 2004.
	The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact
	that the written report of the International
	Searching Authority is considered the first

Chapter II written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

3. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the

an inventive step within the meaning of PCT

subject matter of claims 1 and 11 does not involve

Article 33(3).

3.1 Document D1 (see page 560, left-hand column, paragraph 1, to page 562, left-hand column, paragraph 3; figure 1) is considered the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses (the references between parentheses relate to D1) a

short-pulse laser device with preferably passive mode coupling (Kerr-lens mode-locked (KLM) $Ti:Al_2O_3$ laser), having a resonator (cavity) which contains a laser crystal (Ti: sapphire crystal) and a plurality of mirrors

(M1, M2, R1, R2, SBR, OC), of which one forms a pump-stream input-coupling mirror (R1) and a

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

further one a laser-beam output-coupling mirror
(OC), having a

multi-reflection telescope (MPC) magnifying the resonator length, wherein during operations the resonator (cavity) has a positive mean dispersion in an affected wavelength range (net positive dispersion regime; see page 560, left-hand column, lines 26-30; page 561, right-hand column, lines 7-11; page 562, left-hand column, paragraph 3).

The subject matter of $\underline{\text{claim 1}}$ therefore differs from that known from document D1 in that

the adjustment of the positive mean dispersion of the resonator is carried out **using the resonator mirrors**, of which at least a few are in the form of **dispersive mirrors**.

The **problem** to be solved by the present invention is therefore understood to be that of providing a short-pulse laser device wherein the mean dispersion can be accurately set.

The solution proposed in <u>claim 1</u> of the present application cannot be considered inventive, for the following reasons (PCT Article 33(3)):

Document D2 (see column 2, line 32, to column 3, line 65) discloses the use of dispersive mirrors for accurately setting the dispersion in the resonator of a femto-second pulse-Ti: sapphire laser and with regard to this feature describes

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the same advantages as the present application.

To a person skilled in the art it would therefore be a **routine design measure** to incorporate this feature into the short-pulse laser device described in document D1 in order to solve the problem of interest.

The solution proposed in independent claim 1 therefore cannot be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

Observation:

Document D1 (page 562, left-hand column, paragraph 3) already points out that the use of specially designed chirped mirrors instead of the normally used prisms, can be expected to improve a resonator with a positive mean dispersion.

3.2 The subject matter of <u>claim 11</u> does not involve an inventive step, for similar reasons (see also document D1, page 560, left-hand column, lines 35-37).

3.3 Observation:

The documents not cited in the present report, but cited in the international search report, likewise disclose dispersive mirrors for the accurate setting of dispersion in laser resonators (see the corresponding passages cited in the search

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

report).

4. Dependent <u>claims 4-10</u> contain no additional features which, combined with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the **PCT** requirements for inventive step. The additional features of <u>claims 4-10</u> instead appear to be routine measures known in the art, which a person skilled in the art would use with the short-pulse laser device of <u>claim 1</u>, depending on the special operating requirements.

Consequently, it would be straightforward for a person skilled in the art to arrive at a short-pulse laser device according to <u>claims 4-10</u> by applying general technical knowledge in the field to a short-pulse laser device according to document D1, without thereby exercising inventive skill.

5. The combination of features contained in dependent claims 2 and 3 is not known from or suggested by the relevant prior art. The reasons are as follows:

Document D1 (see page 561, right-hand column, paragraph 1) discloses a **positive total dispersion** of the resonator of **+390 fs**². However, the prior art **offers nothing** to suggest choosing the elements of the resonator in such a way that resonator dispersion is situated in the relevant wavelength range of **between 0 and 100 fs**².

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000336

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Consequently, the subject matter of the present <u>claims 2 and 3</u> appears to meet the requirements of **PCT Article 33(2) and (3)**.

Observation:

The application does not satisfy the requirements of **PCT** Article 6 because $\underline{\text{claim } 7}$ is not clear.

<u>Claim 7</u> refers to a **negative dispersion** short-pulse laser device and is therefore inconsistent with the subject matter of <u>claim 1</u>, which defines a short-pulse laser device having a resonator with a **positive mean dispersion** and to which claim 7 indirectly refers back.